



Getting the most out of your FFT N^{-1}

$$X_k = \sum_{n=0}^{l} x_n \cdot e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}kt}$$

Paul Boven - PE1NUT p.boven@xs4all.nl

Goals



- Spectral Line (e.g. 21cm) observing
 - Detect weak, very distant sources
 - Determine their frequency, line profile

Requires:

- High Sensitivity
- Sharp Frequency Bins
- Low Computational Effort

Measuring Noise Power

- Input: Gaussian Noise
- Square each sample, scales with power
- Average the power measurements
- For large N, uncertainty scales with 1/√N





• $U = I \cdot R$

• $P = U^2 / R$

Hello World



Mmmand UHD: USRP Source Samp Rate (Sps): 1M Ch0: Center Freq (Hz): 100M Ch0: Gain Value: 30 Ch0: Antenna: RX2

QT GUI Frequency Sink FFT Size: 1.024k Center Frequency (Hz): 100M Bandwidth (Hz): 1M

- Good for high dynamic range (log scale)
- Low CPU impact (runs only 10 times per second)

out

- This example: only 1% of samples get used
 - 1024 bins, 10 Hz, 1MS/s
- Poor sensitivity
- Long time averaging required



- Resolution: 20MHz / 4096 = 5 kHz
- 1% power accuracy
- Update rate is samp_rate / bins / integrations = 2s
- Lag is tens of seconds !



- Repeat the output of the integrator
- Only happens every 2 seconds anyway
- Flushes buffers so Vector Sink updates immediately
- Also introduced normalisation:
 - np.repeat(1/integration, bins)
 - After integration, so it takes far less CPU

The Window Function



- Applied cyclically to the input data before FFT
- Goal: suppress side-lobes for f \neq f_s / N
- Side effects:
 - Reduction in Frequency Resolution
 - Reduction in SNR: Samples at edges have low weight





- Low (zero) weight for samples at window edge
- Loss of sensitivity, as samples are thrown away



Increase in CPU resources scales with overlap factor !



- The dual of a rectangular (box) function is the sinc
- sinc(x) = sin(x)/x
 - For x=0, sinc(x) = 1
- Extends into infinity (in time domain)
- Truncation in time required
 - Less perfect 'box' shape in frequency space
 - Window much longer than FFT length
- Scale of Sinc determines width of frequency 'box'
 - Determine overlap or gap between bins

Polyphase





- Implement long window as group of FIR filters
 - Polyphase decomposition
 - GRC does this for us
- Longer filter gives better frequency response
 - But loses time resolution

Polyphase in GRC



- Would be much more useful with a vectorised output !
- One bin 'wraps around', contains highest and lowest frequencies
 - For a small number of channels, shift frequency by half a bin

Weight Overlap Add





PFB

FFT

- Sinc window (perhaps multiplied with e.g. hamming)
- Same behaviour as polyphase, just different implementation
- More overlaps allows less truncated sinc(x)
 - Better frequency box shape
 - Worse time resolution

Weight Overlap Add (WOLA)



- sinc_sample_locations: np.arange(-np.pi*4/2.0, np.pi*4/2.0, np.pi/chans)
- sinc: np.sinc(sinc_sample_locations/np.pi)
- custom_window: sinc*np.hamming(4*chans)
- Top to bottom: custom_window[-chans:], [2*chans:3*chans], [chans:2*chans], [0:chans]
- Based on: http://wvurail.org/dspira/labs/05/

Merci de votre attention